



Systemwide Human Resources

2017 UC Staff Engagement Survey

How to Read Results

Riverside

Scores

Scores shown are the total Percent Favorable (typically the top two options). For example:

Differences and Colors

Differences to norms are shown as % points. Norms may Tend to include past surveys, parent groups, industry, national or Tend to Aaree ? Disagree Disagree Agree high performance benchmarks. **Favorable Responses Total Favorable** Parent Company Industry For example: Score Overall Historical Group Norm **Employee Engagement** 86 -8* 3* 3* -10* 2* -9* 2* I have a good understanding of our goals. 84 3 1 I have a good understanding of how my job contributes to 12 88 10* -1 4 0 achieving our goals. *

Icons (if applicable)

#	

When a question number is shown in red it is a priority issue.

- ★ Key driver question.
- (N) On some questions disagreeing is the favorable response.

* Statistically significant differences are indicated with asterisks and darker colors. They are meaningful differences, where we are 95% confident it did not occur by chance. The cut-off for significance varies according to the size of the groups being compared. Small groups require a bigger difference for it to be significant.



Overview

Riverside

Results vs. Riverside 2015

4 Out Of 8 Categories Have Improved



Results vs. US National Norm

Strengths and Opportunities

Riverside

Strengths			% Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Our strengths: We should continue to build on these.34 activJ fee	34	My supervisor helps me make time to participate in training and development activities.	74	9*	2	10*
	I feel my personal contributions are recognized. *	63	11*	-4	-5*	
		I am confident I can achieve my personal career objectives within the UC system. ★	63	7*	0	-4
Opportunities			% Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm
V	15c	Generally, recent major organizational changes across the UC system have been: Executed well	% Favorable		California	
Opportunities		changes across the UC system have been:		2015	California Overall 2017	Norm

Note: Strengths/Opportunities are based on several factors, such as absolute scores, differences from benchmarks, and impact on engagement.

Suggested Actions

Riverside

WHAT WE COULD DO



"Best practice" suggested actions

Improve execution of changes at the location.

Hold regular meetings on at least a quarterly basis to update employees on organizational changes and how they may affect their roles and objectives. This will help avoid rumor and enhance confidence in senior leaders. Remember, a one-off communication will be forgotten very quickly.

Improve communication on changes taking place at the location or across the system. During periods of organizational change employees will have a greatly increased desire for information. Rumors can quickly spread, causing fear and distracting employees from their work. During these periods, check in with your employees often and share whatever you can as soon as possible. Consider carefully what employees are asking (and not asking, but may be concerned about). When you have no information to share, provide with them a time horizon for when they will hear more.

Categories vs. Multiple Benchmarks

			University of	
	Total Favorable Score	Riverside 2015	California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Career Development	55	3	-2	-8*
Communication	65	1	-5*	-7*
Engagement	66	0	-4	-7*
Image/Brand	71	-2	-4*	-6*
Organizational Change	24	-9*	-6*	-26*
Performance Management	52	3	-5*	-11*
Supervision	72	4	0	-3
Working Relationships	78	0	3	4
Diversity & Inclusion	74	n/a	0	-2
Wellness	71	n/a	2	n/a

Category Breakdown - Role

Riverside

	Riverside 2017 (491)	Individual Contributor 2017 (205)		Manager 2017 (100)	Director and above 2017 (75)
Career Development	55	-4	-4	7	6
Communication	65	-2	1	6	-5
Engagement	66	-1	-2	1	5
Image/Brand	71	-2	0	1	3
Organizational Change	24	1	1	-1	-3
Performance Management	52	-4	0	4	6
Supervision	72	-2	-1	3	1
Working Relationships	78	-6	1	4	10
Diversity & Inclusion	74	-6	5	8	-2
Wellness	71	0	-2	0	3

Category Breakdown - Gender

Riverside

	Riverside 2017 (491)	Female 2017 (300)	Male 2017 (191)
Career Development	55	2	-3
Communication	65	0	1
Engagement	66	2	-3
Image/Brand	71	1	-2
Organizational Change	24	0	0
Performance Management	52	2	-3
Supervision	72	2	-3
Working Relationships	78	1	-2
Diversity & Inclusion	74	0	-1
Wellness	71	3	-5

Category Breakdown - Ethnicity

Riverside

	Riverside 2017 (491)	Asian 2 (61	Black 201 (45)	panic 7 (104)	/hite 2017 (278)
Career Development	55	-1	-3	3	0
Communication	65	-3	0	1	0
Engagement	66	-1	-6	3	0
Image/Brand	71	3	-5	-2	1
Organizational Change	24	-6	12	5	-3
Performance Management	52	1	-3	-3	1
Supervision	72	6	-4	0	-1
Working Relationships	78	-1	-7	2	1
Diversity & Inclusion	74	-6	-4	4	1
Wellness	71	1	-2	7	-3

Category Breakdown - Years of Service

	Riverside 2017 (491)	1 < 3 2017 (77)	7 3 < 5 2017 (68)	5 < 10 201 (112)	7 10 < 15 2017 (70)	15 < 20 2017 (75)	20 < 25 2017 (52)
Career Development	55	-6	5	-7	4	-1	12
Communication	65	-1	9	-9	4	-2	12
Engagement	66	-3	4	-7	4	-1	9
Image/Brand	71	-4	10	-7	3	0	7
Organizational Change	24	8	3	-7	-4	2	3
Performance Management	52	5	3	-10*	6	0	3
Supervision	72	-1	4	-5	8	-4	6
Working Relationships	78	-4	-1	-5	0	4	13*
Diversity & Inclusion	74	2	12*	-6	6	-6	3
Wellness	71	-1	6	-4	7	-4	1

Category Breakdown - Years of Service

	Riverside 2017 (491)	25 < 30 2017 (20)	30+ 2017 (17)
Career Development	55	20	-24
Communication	65	0	-15
Engagement	66	11	-14
Image/Brand	71	1	-15
Organizational Change	24	17	-18
Performance Management	52	8	-9
Supervision	72	3	-17
Working Relationships	78	7	-8
Diversity & Inclusion	74	6	-34*
Wellness	71	9	-18

Category Breakdown - Pay Range

	Riverside 2017 (491)	40k - 49k 2017 (29)	50k - 59k 2017 (92)	60k - 69k 2017 (73)	70k - 79k 2017 (62)	80k - 89k 2017 (62)	90k - 99k 2017 (46)
Career Development	55	4	-1	-5	-3	0	1
Communication	65	2	3	3	-6	0	-2
Engagement	66	2	-1	0	-3	4	1
Image/Brand	71	-1	-1	-3	-1	-1	4
Organizational Change	24	11	5	-2	0	2	-3
Performance Management	52	4	-5	-5	-3	3	2
Supervision	72	5	-1	0	-1	4	0
Working Relationships	78	-11	-4	-1	4	-2	2
Diversity & Inclusion	74	-3	-1	0	1	4	-2
Wellness	71	4	6	0	-4	4	-11

Category Breakdown - Pay Range

	Riverside 2017 (491)	100k - 109k 2017 (43)	110k - 149k 2017 (60)	150k - 199k 2017 (13)
Career Development	55	-2	7	18
Communication	65	-8	-1	8
Engagement	66	-6	1	9
Image/Brand	71	-5	3	16
Organizational Change	24	-11	-3	4
Performance Management	52	-3	7	24
Supervision	72	-6	-2	13
Working Relationships	78	-6	12*	22
Diversity & Inclusion	74	-5	2	-1
Wellness	71	-5	-1	18

Career Development

Riverside

		Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California U Overall 2017	JS National Norm
Career Development		55	3	-2	-8*
7 There are sufficient opportunities for me to receir my skills in my current job.	ve training to improve	63	2	-3	-7*
I believe I have the opportunity for personal development of the UC system.	elopment and growth	63	-6	-1	-6*
20 My campus/location is doing a good job of plann succession. *	ing for management	30	2	-3	-11*
I am confident I can achieve my personal careerUC system. *	objectives within the	63	7*	0	-4
28 My campus/location provides people with the ne resources to manage their own careers effective		56	8*	-3	-11*

Communication

	University of			
	Total	Riverside	California	US National
	Favorable	2015	Overall 2017	Norm
Communication	65	1	-5*	-7*
1 My campus/location does an excellent job of keeping employees informed about important organizational matters affecting us.	63	-3	-7*	-7*
I feel able to openly and honestly communicate my views to my supervisor and other leaders.	67	6	-3	-7*

Engagement

Riverside

		Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Eng	agement	66	0	-4	-7*
2	There is usually sufficient staff in my department to handle the workload.	30	-5	-14*	-26*
8	I am satisfied with my involvement in decisions that affect my work.	60	3	-5*	-10*
18	My work schedule allows sufficient flexibility to meet my personal/family needs.	77	-5	-7*	1
19	I feel motivated to go beyond my formal job responsibilities to get the job done.	83	4	-2	-4*
21	I have the equipment/tools/resources I need to do my job effectively.	66	-3	-7*	-9*
27	I would recommend the UC system as a good place to work.	82	2	4	3
29	Working for the UC system inspires me to do my best work.	72	-1	-1	-3
36	At the present time, are you seriously considering leaving the UC system?	61	1	0	-6*

Image/Brand

			University of	
	Total	Riverside	California	US National
	Favorable	2015	Overall 2017	Norm
Image/Brand	71	-2	-4*	-6*
6 I am proud to be associated with the UC system.	88	0	2	1
22 My campus/location is highly regarded by its employees.	54	-4	-10*	-13*

Organizational Change

	Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California US National Overall 2017 Norm
Organizational Change	24	-9*	-6* -26*
15a Generally, recent major organizational changes across the UC system have been: Planned well	24	-10*	-6* -19*
15b Generally, recent major organizational changes across the UC system have been: Explained well	27	-8*	-5* -29*
15c Generally, recent major organizational changes across the UC system have been: Executed well	20	-8*	-6* -30*

Performance Management

	Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Performance Management	52	3	-5*	-11*
 I feel my campus/location does a good job matching pay to performance. * 	30	5	-1	-17*
5 I feel my personal contributions are recognized. *	63	11*	-4	-5*
25 I think my performance on the job is evaluated fairly.	65	-6*	-9*	-10*

Supervision

		Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Sup	ervision	72	4	0	-3
4	My supervisor keeps me informed about issues that affect me.	73	3	-2	-7*
9	My supervisor develops people's abilities.	61	5	-2	-7*
12	Regarding suggestions for change from employees, my supervisor is usually responsive.	70	3	-1	-7*
16	I have a clear understanding of how my job contributes to the departmental objectives.	89	0	1	-2
17	My supervisor treats me with respect.	88	7*	1	1
24	My supervisor communicates effectively.	73	4	0	-5*
26a	Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements about your supervisor: Effectively deals with poor performers	51	3	3	-7*
26b	Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements about your supervisor: Listens carefully to different points of view before coming to conclusions	73	7*	0	4
26c	Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements about your supervisor: Encourages new ideas and new ways of doing things	74	4	-2	-4*
31	My supervisor does a good job of building teamwork.	65	4	-1	-8*

Supervision

	Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Supervision	72	4	0	-3
My supervisor helps me make time to participate in training and development activities.	74	9*	2	10*

Working Relationships

	University of			
	Total	Riverside	California	US National
	Favorable	2015	Overall 2017	Norm
Working Relationships	78	0	3	4
10 There is good cooperation between my department and other departments at my campus/location.	70	-5	1	0
30 There is good cooperation between staff in my department.	87	4	5*	8*

Diversity & Inclusion

		University of		
	Total	Riverside	California	US National
	Favorable	2015	Overall 2017	Norm
Diversity & Inclusion	74	n/a	0	-2
I feel that management at my campus/location supports equal opportunityfor all employees, of all differences, including, but not limited to, age, gender identity, ethnicity and disability status.	77	n/a	2	5*
Employees at my campus/location are treated with dignity and respect, regardless of their position or background.	70	n/a	-1	-10*

Wellness

				University of	
		Total Favorable	Riverside 2015	California Overall 2017	US National Norm
Wel	Iness	71	n/a	2	n/a
32	My supervisor is supportive of my participation in health or wellness-related initiatives and programs offered at my campus/location. \star	74	n/a	2	n/a
35	My organization promotes an environment of physical, mental, and social well-being. \star	67	n/a	2	-4

Sustainable Engagement Profile vs. U.S. National Norm & Riverside 2015

Segmentation analysis identifies the types of engagement within the organization



Highly Engaged: Those who score high on all three aspects of sustainable engagement



Unsupported: Those who are traditionally engaged, but lack enablement and/or energy



Detached: Those who feel enabled and/or energized, but lack a sense of traditional engagement



Disengaged: Those who score low on *all* three aspects of sustainable engagement

2017	Engaged	Enabled	Energized	U.S.	2015
Highly Engaged				35%	22%
Unsupported 34%				22%	32%
Detached 16%				22%	19%
Disengaged 30%				21%	27%

Key Drivers of Engagement



Key Driver Items of Engagement

Riverside 2015	University of California Overall 2017	US National Norm	Total Favorable Score				
2	-3	-11*	30	Career Development: My campus/location is doing a good job of planning for management succession.	١		
7*	0	-4	63	Career Development: I am confident I can achieve my personal career objectives within the UC system.			
5	-1	-17*	30	Performance Management: I feel my campus/location does a good job matching pay to performance.			
11*	-4	-5*	63	Performance Management: I feel my personal contributions are recognized.		Engagement	
n/a	2	n/a	74	Wellness: My supervisor is supportive of my participation in health or wellness-related initiatives and programs offered at my campus/location.			
n/a	2	-4	67	Wellness: My organization promotes an environment of physical, mental, and social well-being.			

Group Sizes

Riverside (491)

Benchmarks

Riverside 2015	408	US National Norm	159,758
Riverside 2012	559	Universities Staff Norm	16,527
University of California Overall 2017 10	,539		

Role

Individual Contributor 2017	205	Manager 2017	100
Supervisor 2017	107	Director and above 2017	75

Gender

Female 2017	300	Male 2017	191

Ethnicity

Asian 2017	61	Hispanic 2017	104
Black 2017	45	White 2017	278

Years of Service

1 < 3 2017	. 77	15 < 20 2017	75
3 < 5 2017	. 68	20 < 25 2017	52
5 < 10 2017	112	25 < 30 2017	20
10 < 15 2017	. 70	30+ 2017	17

Pay Range

40k - 49k 2017	29	80k - 89k 2017	62
50k - 59k 2017	92	90k - 99k 2017	46
60k - 69k 2017	73	100k - 109k 2017	43
70k - 79k 2017	62	110k - 149k 2017	60

Pay Range